Guidance for Low risk febrile neutropenia pathway



TARGET AUDIENCE	Secondary Care patients in ED and Medical Assessment Unit
PATIENT GROUP	Patients with febrile neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count ≤0.5 x 10 ⁹ L or ≤1 x 10 ⁹ L after systemic anticancer therapy and infection of unclear source)

Background

Patients with febrile neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count ≤0.5 x 10⁹L or ≤1 x 10⁹L after systemic anticancer therapy and normally within 10 days, but can be up to 28 days) should be managed as an acute medical emergency. Neutropenic sepsis is a potentially fatal complication of cancer therapies, particularly chemotherapy, and requires immediate evaluation and treatment. However, these patients are a heterogenous group with only a minority developing significant medical complications.^{1, 2} Identifying these low risk patients early, using a formal scoring system such as the validated MASCC score, ^{1,3,4} allows timely and appropriate management in an ambulatory emergency care setting, rather than an inpatient stay, providing a much more appropriate patient journey. This process is recommended by NICE⁵ (The National Institute for health and Care Excellence), and management in an ambulatory care setting has an evidence base proving it to be a safe and effective.^{6,7} This pathway has already been adopted by some health boards in Scotland.

The benefits of ambulatory care include admission avoidance, cost savings, reduced risk of nosocomial infections but most importantly a patient centred approach with improved patient experience and satisfaction, particularly in the current climate of prolonged trolley waits and side room shortages.¹

Clinical Guidelines Summary





LOW Risk Febrile Neutropenia – AECU pathway



Primary inclusion criteria

Patients ≥ 18 years old presents with febrile neutropenia +/has a solid tumour Fever of undefined source and neutrophils ≤0.5x10° /L or ≤ 1x10° after SACT (usually within 10 days but can be up to 28)

Has a MASCC score of 21 or more if oncology patient

Patients with an identified specific focus of infection should be managed according to local protocols

Pathway Excludes patients with haematological malignancy (automatically high risk), cellular therapy, Sarcoma and germ cell cancers & those with previous invasive fungal infection

Secondary inclusion criteria

NEWS ≤4 and patient:

- Has had temperature of >37.5°C or <36°C
- Is worked up for sepsis including two sets of blood cultures, swabs and imaging as appropriate
- Gets dose of empirical intravenous antibiotic administered within 1 hour
- · Has easily available access to an Emergency Department and has a telephone
- Has family/carer support and no other medical or social barriers to discharge

IV Antibiotic choice

- 1) First choice- IV Piperacillin/Tazobactam 4.5g immediate one-off dose
- Penicillin intolerance/minor Penicillin allergy- ceftazidime 2g immediate one off dose (see empirical policy for description)
- 3) Clear history of anaphylaxis with Penicillin or severe/true penicillin allergy- IV vancomycin + PO ciprofloxacin 750mg +IV gentamicin stat doses using online calculators

Oral antibiotic for 7 days Levofloxacin 500mg twice daily if high risk pseudomonas (previously colonised/infected with, recent long admission, transplant, indwelling line) or Co-Trimoxazole 960mg twice daily if low risk of pseudomonas. Consider OPAT referral if low risk and no safe swallow Patients will need ECG for QT assessment for Levofloxacin and counselling on MHRA guidance

MASCC Risk Index Scoring System		Yes	No	score
Burden of illness (febrile neutropenia) –	None/mild	5	0	
select only one	Moderate	3	0	
	Severe or moribund	0	0	
BP < 90mmHg		0	5	
Patient has COPD		0	4	
Patient has solid tumour		4	0	
Patient need IV fluids for rehydration		0	3	
Patient developed fever as outpatient		3	0	
Patient is <60 years old	2	0		
TOTAL MASCC Score				
Max score is 26 – scores ≥21 are at low risk of septic complications				
Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer Risk Score. (MASCC https://www.mascr.org/mascr-fn-risk-index-score)				

Prior to	ΔECII d	iccharge
1 1101 00	ALCO U	ischai ge

Advise patient to complete any prophylactic G-CSF prescribed with their chemotherapy regimen but do not start G-CSF

Inform the acute oncology nurses of entry on to low risk ambulatory pathway to allow clinical follow up, assessment and results review daily either by phone or in AECU

Add patient to virtual ward for Doccla monitoring

Ensure that the patient has access to 24hour specialist oncology telephone advice

Ensure the patient knows the signs and symptoms that should trigger them to seek medical assessment and know when they should return to the hospital

Created and designed by Dr Nicholas Holt, Acute medicine consultant, University Hospital Muniklands 2025

Lead Author	Dr Nicholas Holt	Date approved	Nov 2025
Version	1	Review Date	Nov 2028



Guideline Body

References/Evidence

- 1) T Cooksley, M Holland & J Klastersky, Ambulatory Outpatient Management of patients with low risk febrile neutropaenia Acute Medicine 2015; 14(4): 178-181
- 2) Lynn J, Chen K, Weng Y, Chiu T. Risk factors associated with complications in patients with chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in emergency department. *Hematol Oncol* 2013; 31: 189-96.
- 3) Klastersky J, Paesmans M, Rubenstein E *et al.* The Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer Risk Index: A Multinational Scoring System for Identifying Low-Risk Febrile Neutropenic Cancer Patients. *J Clin Onc* 2000; 18: 3038-51.
- 4) Paesmans M, Klastersky J, Maertens J *et al.* Predicting febrile neutropenic patients at low risk using the MASCC score: does bacteremia matter? *Support Care Cancer* 2011; 19: 1001-1008.
- 5) NICE Guideline. Neutropenic sepsis: prevention and management of neutropenic sepsis in cancer patients. September 2012.
- 6) Carstensen M, Sorensen J. Outpatient management of febrile neutropenia: time to revise present treatment strategy. *J Support Oncol* 2008; 6: 199-208.
- 7) Teuffel O, Ethier M, Alibhal S *et al.* Outpatient management of cancer patients with febrile neutropenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2011; 22: 2358-65.

Lead Author	Dr Nicholas Holt	Date approved	Nov 2025
Version	1	Review Date	Nov 2028



Appendices

1. Governance information for Guidance document

Lead Author(s):	Dr Nicholas Holt, Consultant Physician Acute and General Medicine, Acute Clinical Lead, Clinical Lead Trainer, FAMUS supervisor, Honorary Clinical Lecturer University of Glasgow, University Hospital Monklands
Endorsing Body:	NHSL ADTC
Version Number:	1
Approval date	Nov 2025
Review Date:	Nov 2028
Responsible Person (if different from lead author)	Nick Holt lead author

CONSULTATION AND DISTRIBUTION RECORD			
Contributing Author / Authors	Tracey Laird (acute oncology lead nurse), Gordon McNeish (Associate Medical Director and Consultant Emergency Medicine)		
Consultation Process / Stakeholders:	Monklands Site HMT, NHSL Oncology, Acute, Microbiology and pharmacy teams MDTs, Antimicrobial Committee, FNC+ and Doccla, FNC business analyst		

Lead Author	Dr Nicholas Holt	Date approved	Nov 2025
Version	1	Review Date	Nov 2028



Distribution	Lanarkshire wide

CHANGE RECORD					
Date	Lead Author	Change	Version No.		
21/11/25	N Holt	Made the adjustments required from ADTC meeting in removing Latin abbreviations, changing review date and adding a word to the opening paragraph.	1		
			2		
			3		
			4		
			5		

2. You can include additional appendices with complimentary information that doesn't fit into the main text of your guideline, but is crucial and supports its understanding.

e.g. supporting documents for implementation of guideline, patient information, specific monitoring requirements for secondary and primary care clinicians, dosing regimen/considerations according to weight and/or creatinine clearance

Lead Author	Dr Nicholas Holt	Date approved	Nov 2025
Version	1	Review Date	Nov 2028

Uncontrolled when printed - access the most up to date version on www.nhslguidelines.scot.nhs.uk